

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

	T		T		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/762,439	01/22/2004	Paul Ashton	CDSI-P01-041	5180	
28120 ROPES & GR	7590 07/08/200 AY LLP	8	EXAM	UNER	
PATENT DO	CKETING 39/41				
BOSTON, MA	ATIONAL PLACE A 02110-2624		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
/			1615		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/08/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/762,439	ASHTON ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	ARADHANA SASAN	1615		

	ARADHANA SASAN	1015				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 06 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
periods:	of the first street					
	a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later, no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN 1						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07().					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, it checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount on hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	F '41 07 OFD 44 07	Florid 144 to . 6				
 I ne Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed) 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reject	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•	_			
7. Meropurposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) thow the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach-	ed.			

See Continuation Sheet.

13. Other: _____.

11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's amendment of claims 1, 2, 14 and 18 includes the limitation of the one or more additional coatings that "comprise an adrenergic agent that is the same or different as the adrenergic agent of the inner drug core". This limitation raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. Applicant argues that Wong does not teach a device that includes a matrix material that is admixed with the drug to inhibit or prevent decomposition. This is not found persuasive because Wong teaches that the drug "may also be present as a solution or be dispersed in a polymer matrix" (Col. 10, lines 35-39). Applicant's arguments regarding the additional coating or layer comprising an adrenergic agent are not found persuasive because this is a new limitation that will require further consideration and/or search.

/MP WOODWARD/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1615